

# QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN

| DIGIWATER Project  |      | Algorithm for the development and implementation of the project quality assurance plan - stages                                     |  |  |   |                           |                          |  |
|--------------------|------|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| WP, Tasks, Results |      | Stage 1 – Ensuring the quality of the actions taken within the project  |  |  |   |                           |                          |  |
|                    |      | Definition/identification of criteria for assessing the quality   | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality   | Definition/identification of indicators for assessing the quality  | Measures to improve quality indicators  | Responsible s             | Implementation deadlines | Feedback on the implementation of measures   |
| WP1                | T1.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of information obtained as a result of the carried out surveys                     | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the subsequent logical organisation of the Roadmap (Digital Water Roadmap)  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of information obtained as a result of the carried out surveys                      | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Marios Mouskoundis (IACO) | Weekly (during WP1)      | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|                    | T1.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of information as a result of the online workshops “ <b>Water digitalisation</b> ” | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the comparative analysis of the factors which accelerate and block respectively the digitalization in the sector of water | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of information gathered during the online workshops “ <b>Water digitalisation</b> ” | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Susann Andersen (NMBU)    | Weekly (during WP1)      | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |

|  |      |   |   |  |   |                           |                      |  |
|--|------|---|---|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
|  | T1.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the Roadmap, obtained after performing the tasks from T1.1 and T1.2                   | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality to harmonize the Roadmap, as to satisfy the needs of the stakeholders  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the Roadmap based on the degree of fulfillment of the needs of the stakeholders  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Laurențiu Luca (SmarTech) | Weekly (during WP1)  | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|  | R1.1 | Definition of the criteria for assessing the quality of the stakeholders involvement in ensuring the success of the project               | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the stakeholders involvement within the project by quantifying their needs in the field of digitalisation of the water sector | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the stakeholders involvement in ensuring the success of the project  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Marios Mouskoundis (IACO) | Monthly (during WP1) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|  | R1.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of SWOT analysis of factors claimed by the process of digitalisation of the water sector | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the performed SWOT analysis   | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the performed SWOT analysis regarding the actions claimed within the project, to satisfy the needs of the stakeholders | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Susann Andersen (NMBU)    | Monthly (during WP1) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|  | R1.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the estimated impact over industry and society as                                     | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the estimated   | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality starting from the increase of the innovative spirit but also  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to                                | Laurențiu Luca (SmarTech) | Monthly (during WP1) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of  |

|     |        |   |  |  |   |                           |                     |  |
|-----|--------|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------|--|
|     |        | a result of the digitalisation of water sector  | impact, taking into account the innovation needs and the harmonization of digital skills claimed by the water sector                             | from the development of new professional and transversal skills claimed by the digitalisation of water sector                                  | improve the assessment process  |                           |                     | the indicators for assessing the quality   |
| WP2 | T2.1.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of assets of the partners involved in the project  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of assets of the partners to accelerate the process of harmonization                        | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of assets of the partners involved in the project   | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Ion Voncilă (UGAL)        | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |
|     | T2.1.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the current curriculum and the level of collaboration between universities and companies with respect to the digitalisation of the water sector | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the current curriculum and the level of collaboration between universities and companies | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the current curriculum and the level of collaboration between universities and companies | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Laurențiu Luca (SmarTech) | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |
|     | T2.1.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of curriculum created/designed within the workshops  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of curriculum created within the workshops  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the new created/designed curriculum within the workshops                                 | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment         | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU)     | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the                                    |

|        |   |   |   |   |                           |                     |  |                                    |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|        |   |   |   |   | process                   |                     |  | quality and their re-harmonization |
| T2.1.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the programmes intended for specific courses claimed by the project   | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the programmes intended for specific courses claimed by the project | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the programmes intended for specific courses claimed by the project             | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Ion Voncilă (UGAL)        | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                                    |
| T2.2.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of course materials  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of course materials  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of course materials  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Martin Oldenburg (THOWL)  | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                                    |
| T2.2.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of practical exercises adjacent to the course materials                  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of practical exercises adjacent to course materials                    | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of practical exercises adjacent to the course materials                            | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Marios Mouskoundis (IACO) | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                                    |
| T2.2.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of teaching material as a result of the harmonization of the course with | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of teaching material as a  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of teaching material as a result of the harmonization of the course with practical | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the                    | Ion Voncilă (UGAL)        | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for  |                                    |

|        |  |  |  |   |                          |                     |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
|        |  | practical exercises  | result of the harmonization of the course with practical exercises   | exercises   | assessment process       |                     |  | assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |
| T2.2.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of DIGIWATER e-learning platform architecture   | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of DIGIWATER e-learning platform architecture   | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of DIGIWATER e-learning platform architecture   | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Alexis Yeratziotis (UCY) | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |
| T2.2.5 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the interactive instruments developed on DIGIWATER e-learning platform                     | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the interactive instruments developed on DIGIWATER e-learning platform                     | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the interactive instruments developed on DIGIWATER e-learning platform                     | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Alexis Yeratziotis (UCY) | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |
| T2.2.6 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the optimized platform as a result of the feedback received from the partners and students | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the optimized platform as a result of the feedback received from the partners and students | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the optimized platform as a result of the feedback received from the partners and students | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Alexis Yeratziotis (UCY) | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |

|  |        |  |   |  |   |                           |                     |  |
|--|--------|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------|--|
|  | T2.3.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the training process for trainers  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the training process for trainers                               | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the training process for trainers  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Daniel Plath (STEB)       | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |
|  | T2.3.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of trainings carried out within partner universities and companies                        | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of trainings carried out within partner universities and companies | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of trainings carried out within partner universities and companies                        | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Laurențiu Luca (SmarTech) | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |
|  | T2.3.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of open-education sessions  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of open-education sessions   | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of open-education sessions  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Patrick Willems (KUL)     | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |
|  | T2.3.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the process of testing the curriculum, realized – within the project – by the students | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the process of testing the curriculum, realized – within        | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the process of testing the curriculum, realized – within the project – by the students | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Susann Andersen (NMBU)    | Weekly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their                  |

|        |  |  |  |   |                          |                      |  |                  |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
|        |  |  | the project – by the students  |   |                          |                      |  | re-harmonization |
| T2.3.5 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of teaching material (course+execices) revised after the process of testing by students                             | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of teaching material (course+execices) revised after the process of testing by students           | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of teaching material (course+execices) revised after the process of testing by students                             | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Patrick Willems (KUL)    | Weekly (during WP2)  | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                  |
| T2.3.6 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the accreditation and formalization of the study programmes and/or of the new resulted courses                   | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the accreditation and formalization of the study programmes and/or of the new resulted courses | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the accreditation and formalization of the study programmes and/or of the new resulted courses                   | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Martin Oldenburg (THOWL) | Weekly (during WP2)  | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                  |
| R2.1.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the assets of the partners, of the level of collaboration between universities and companies | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the assets of the partners, of the level of collaboration between          | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the assets of the partners, of the level of collaboration between universities and companies | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Ion Voncilă (UGAL)       | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                  |

|        |  |  |  |   |                           |                      |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
|        |  |  | universities and companies   |   |                           |                      |  |  |
| R2.1.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the current curriculum and of the level of collaboration between universities and companies with respect to the digitalisation of the water sector | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the current curriculum and of the level of collaboration between universities and companies with respect to the digitalisation of the water sector | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the current curriculum and of the level of collaboration between universities and companies with respect to the digitalisation of the water sector | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Laurențiu Luca (SmarTech) | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |
| R2.1.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the curriculum created/designed within the workshops   | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the curriculum created/designed within the workshops   | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the curriculum created/designed within the workshops   | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU)     | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |
| R2.1.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the programmes intended for specific courses claimed by the project  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the programmes intended for specific courses claimed by the  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the programmes intended for specific courses claimed by the project  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Ion Voncilă (UGAL)        | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |



|        |  |  |  |   |                           |                      |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
|        |  |  | project  |   |                           |                      |  |  |
| R2.2.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the slides which contain the new realized courses                  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the slides which contain the new realized courses            | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the slides which contain the new realized courses                  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Martin Oldenburg (THOWL)  | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |
| R2.2.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of gathering practical themes obtained within the project             | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of gathering practical themes obtained within the project       | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of gathering practical themes obtained within the project             | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Marios Mouskoundis (IACO) | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |
| R2.2.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the harmonized compendium of teaching and learning materials       | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the harmonized compendium of teaching and learning materials | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the harmonized compendium of teaching and learning materials       | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Ion Voncilă (UGAL)        | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |  |
| R2.2.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the DIGIWATER e-learning platform architecture | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the DIGIWATER e-                         | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the DIGIWATER e-learning platform architecture | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment         | Alexis Yeratziotis (UCY)  | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the                                    |  |

|        |   |   |   |   |                          |                      |  |                                    |
|--------|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|        |   |   | learning platform architecture  |   | process                  |                      |  | quality and their re-harmonization |
| R2.2.5 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the educational resources developed on DIGIWATER e-learning platform  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the educational resources developed on DIGIWATER e-learning platform  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the educational resources developed on DIGIWATER e-learning platform  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Alexis Yeratziotis (UCY) | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                                    |
| R2.2.6 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the optimization of the e-learning platform as a result of the feedback received from partners and students | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the optimization of the e-learning platform as a result of the feedback received from partners and students | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the optimization of the e-learning platform as a result of the feedback received from partners and students | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Alexis Yeratziotis (UCY) | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                                    |
| R2.3.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the training process of the trainers  | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the training process of the trainers  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the training process of the trainers  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Daniel Plath (STEB)      | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their                  |                                    |

|        |   |   |  |   |                           |                      |  |                  |
|--------|---|---|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
|        |   |   |  |   |                           |                      |  | re-harmonization |
| R2.3.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the trainings carried out in partner universities and companies | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the trainings carried out in partner universities and companies | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the trainings carried out in partner universities and companies    | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Laurențiu Luca (SmarTech) | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                  |
| R2.3.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the open-education sessions                                     | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the open-education sessions                                     | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the open-education sessions  | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Patrick Willems (KUL)     | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                  |
| R2.3.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the intensive courses organized within the project              | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the intensive courses organized within the project              | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the intensive courses organized within the project                 | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Susann Andersen (NMBU)    | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |                  |
| R2.3.5 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the teaching material (course+exercices)                        | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the teaching  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the teaching material (course+exercices) revised after the process | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the                    | Patrick Willems (KUL)     | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for  |                  |

|     |        |  |  |  |   |                          |                      |  |
|-----|--------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
|     |        | revised after the process of testing by students   | material (course+exercises) revised after the process of testing by students   | of testing by students   | assessment process  |                          |                      | assessing the quality and their re-harmonization   |
|     | R2.3.6 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the accreditation and formalization of the study programmes and/or of the new resulted courses | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the accreditation and formalization of the study programmes and/or of the new resulted courses | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio regarding the accreditation and formalization of the study programmes and/or of the new resulted courses | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Martin Oldenburg (THOWL) | Monthly (during WP2) | Critical analysis of the way to implement the solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality and their re-harmonization |
| WP3 | T3.1   | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the workshop for designing the concepts on the digitalisation of the water industry                                | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the workshop for designing the concepts on the digitalisation of the water industry                                | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the workshop for designing the concepts on the digitalisation of the water industry                                | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Vincent Wolf (SumAqua)   | Weekly (during WP3)  | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality                             |
|     | T3.2.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the methods of organizing the camps for innovation   | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the methods of organizing the  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the methods of organizing the camps for innovation   | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the                    | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU)    | Weekly (during WP3)  | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for   |

|        |  |  | camps for innovation   |   | assessment process     |                     |  | assessing the quality |
|--------|--|--|--|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| T3.2.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the face-to-face camping claimed by the innovation of the processes specific to the digitalisation of water industry | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the face-to-face camping claimed by the innovation of the processes specific to the digitalisation of water industry | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the face-to-face camping claimed by the innovation of the processes specific to the digitalisation of water industry | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU)  | Weekly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |                       |
| T3.2.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the 6-week session in order to realize viable prototypes claimed by the project                                      | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the 6 week-session in order to realize viable prototypes claimed by the project                                      | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the 6 week-session in order to realize viable prototypes claimed by the project                                      | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Vincent Wolf (SumAqua) | Weekly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |                       |
| T3.2.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the 6-month session related to the realization of a pilot project to improve the results in practice                 | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the 6-month session related to the realization of a pilot project to improve the results in practice                 | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the 6-month session related to the realization of a pilot project to improve the results in practice                 | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Vincent Wolf (SumAqua) | Weekly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |                       |

|  |        |   |   |   |   |                        |                      |  |
|--|--------|---|---|---|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
|  | T3.3   | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the realized prototypes based on the degree of satisfaction of the users requirements | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the realized prototypes based on the degree of satisfaction of the users requirements | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the realized prototypes based on the degree of satisfaction of the users requirements | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU)  | Weekly (during WP3)  | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|  | T3.4   | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of demonstrative cases developed together with the final users                           | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of demonstrative cases developed together with the final users                           | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of demonstrative cases developed together with the final users                           | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Patrick Willems (KUL)  | Weekly (during WP3)  | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|  | R3.1   | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which contains the concepts developed within the designing workshop         | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which contains the concepts developed within the designing workshop         | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio which contains the concepts developed within the designing workshop         | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Vincent Wolf (SumAqua) | Monthly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|  | R3.2.1 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the organization plan of the camps for                          | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the   | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the organization plan of the camps for innovation               | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the                    | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU)  | Monthly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for                       |

|        | innovation   | organization plan of the camps for innovation  |  | assessment process  |                        |                      | assessing the quality  |
|--------|--|--|--|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| R3.2.2 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the results of participants interaction within the camps for innovation        | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the results of participants interaction within the camps for innovation        | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the results of participants interaction within the camps for innovation        | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU)  | Monthly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
| R3.2.3 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the prototypes obtained after the 6-week sessions of every camp for innovation | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the prototypes obtained after the 6-week sessions of every camp for innovation | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the prototypes obtained after the 6-week sessions of every camp for innovation | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Vincent Wolf (SumAqua) | Monthly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
| R3.2.4 | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the prototypes obtained after the 6-month                                      | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the  | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the prototypes obtained after the 6-month sessions (pilot projects)            | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the                    | Vincent Wolf (SumAqua) | Monthly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for                       |

|                          |  |  |  |  |   |                       |                      |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
|                          |  | sessions (pilot projects) of every camp for innovation   | prototypes obtained after the 6-month sessions (pilot projects) of every camp for innovation   | of every camp for innovation   | assessment process  |                       |                      | assessing the quality  |
|                          | R3.3   | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the usefulness and ease of exploitation of the realized prototypes | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the usefulness and ease of exploitation of the realized prototypes | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio which shows the usefulness and ease of exploitation of the realized prototypes | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Mehmet Pasaoglu (ITU) | Monthly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
|                          | R3.4   | Definition of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which contains demonstrative cases developed together with the final users     | Prioritization of criteria for assessing the quality of the ratio which contains demonstrative cases developed together with the final users     | Definition of indicators for assessing the quality of the ratio which contains demonstrative cases developed together with the final users     | Critical analysis of the achieved level of quality and proposal of measures to improve the assessment process | Patrick Willems (KUL) | Monthly (during WP3) | Critical analysis of the way of implementing solutions for the improvement of the indicators for assessing the quality |
| <b>DIGIWATER Project</b> | <i>Stage 2 – Synergistic actions for checking the quality of the undertaken processes – Martin Oldenburg (THOWL) – half-yearly (during the project period)</i>   |  |  |  |   |                       |                      |  |
| <b>DIGIWATER Project</b> | <i>Stage 3 – Organizing inter-project coaching sessions to collect best practice and achieve a genuine exchange of experience – Martin Oldenburg (THOWL) – within the project meetings<br/>Final result – Best practice guidelines</i> |  |  |  |   |                       |                      |  |

Ion Voncilă (UGAL)